

03-31-00

BOX PATENT
APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No: MSFT115144

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

March 29, 2000

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:


Transmitted herewith for filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) by Express Mail is the complete patent application of: David D'Souza, entitled PREEMPTIVE MULTI-TASKING WITH COOPERATIVE GROUPS OF TASKS, executed on March 27, 2000.

- X 1. This application is a continuation-in-part of prior Application No. 08/667,377, filed June 21, 1996, which is a file wrapper continuation of Application No. 08/125,930, filed September 21, 1993, priority from the filing date of which is hereby claimed under 35 U.S.C. § 120.
- X 2. An application consisting of 17 pages of specification and claims and 11 sheets of formal drawings is attached.
- X 3. A newly executed Declaration and Power of Attorney is attached.
- X 4. An Assignment of the invention to Microsoft Corporation is attached. A Cover Sheet prepared in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 3.31 is attached to the Assignment. Please record this Assignment in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 3.11.
- X 5. A filing date in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 is requested. The Express Mail Certificate appears below.

COMPUTATION OF FEE

	Number Filed		Number Extra		Rate		Basic Fee 690.00
Total Claims	15 - 20	=	0	x	18.00	=	0.00
Independent Claims	7 - 3	=	4	x	78.00	=	312.00
Multiple Dependent Claims	-0-		---		260.00		
	TOTAL						1,002.00

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON & KINDNESS^{PLLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100



00537998 032900

- X 6. Our check No. 114711 in the amount of \$1,042.00 to cover the total fee as computed above and the fee for recordation of assignment is enclosed.
- X 7. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-1740. This authorization also hereby includes a request for any extensions of time of the appropriate length required upon the filing of any reply during the entire prosecution of this application. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Please address all further correspondence to:

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON & KINDNESS^{PLLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, WA 98101

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR
JOHNSON & KINDNESS^{PLLC}

Leonard J. Hope


Leonard J. Hope
Registration No. 44,774
Direct Dial No. 206.695.1729

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" mailing label number EM 605201164US
Date of Deposit March 27, 2000

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Samuel Brown
(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)


(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

LJH:kh

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON & KINDNESS^{PLLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

PREEMPTIVE MULTI-TASKING WITH COOPERATIVE GROUPS OF TASKS

Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This application is a Continuation-In-Part of prior United States Application No. 08/667,377, filed June 21, 1996, which is a File Wrapper Continuation of United States Application No. 08/125,930, filed September 21, 1993, priority from the filing date of which is hereby claimed under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to data processing systems and, more particularly, to scheduling of tasks in data processing systems.

Background of the Invention

The Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system sold by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington, is a message-driven operating system. Each program run on the operating system maintains a message queue for holding incoming messages that are destined for some portion of the program. Messages are often destined to windows generated by the program. Each window generated by a program has an associated procedure. Thus, the messages are not sent to the window *per se*, but rather are sent to the associated procedure.

Messages are retrieved and processed from the message queue by the associated program through execution of a block of code known as the "message loop". FIGURE 1 is a flow chart of the steps performed by the message loop. These steps are continuously repeated in a looping fashion while the program is active. Initially, a message is retrieved from the queue by making a call to the GetMessage function (step 10). The

GetMessage function is responsible for retrieving a message (if one exists) from the queue. Once the message is retrieved from the queue, the message is translated (if necessary) into a usable format by calling the TranslateMessage function, which performs some keyboard translation (step 12). Once the message is translated, the message is dispatched to the appropriate procedure by calling the DispatchMessage function (step 14). The message includes information that identifies a destination window. The information is used to properly dispatch the message.

The GetMessage function, described above, also plays a role in the scheduling of tasks in the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system. The operating system adopts a non-preemptive or cooperative multi-tasking approach. A task is a section of code, such as a subroutine or program, that can run independently. Cooperative multi-tasking refers to when tasks cooperate with each other by voluntarily passing control over a processor ("yielding") among each other. With preemptive multi-tasking, in contrast, a scheduler determines which task is given the processor and typically provides each task with a given time slot in which it may run. The GetMessage function is an example of a vehicle for implementing the cooperative multi-tasking in the operating system. Other operating system-provided functions that help implement cooperative multi-tasking include the PeekMessage, Yield and WaitMessage functions. In order to understand how the GetMessage function and the other named functions play a role in cooperative multi-tasking, it is helpful to take a closer look at the operation of the GetMessage function.

FIGURE 2 is a flow chart of the steps performed by the GetMessage function when called from a first task (e.g., program) in an environment having multiple active tasks. Initially, the GetMessage function determines whether the message queue for the calling task is empty (step 16). If the message queue for the calling task is empty, the task yields (i.e., relinquishes control of) the processor to a second task that has a non-empty message queue (step 18). At some later point in time, a message becomes available in the message queue of the first task (step 20). The second task maintains control of the processor until it yields control to another task. Eventually, a task yields control back to the first task (step 22). Typically, one of the other tasks yields control back to the first task when the other task's message queue is empty, and the message queue for the first task is no longer empty. The message in the message queue of the

first task is then retrieved from the message queue (step 24). On the other hand, if in step 16 it is determined that the message queue for the first task is not empty, the step of retrieving the message from the message queue is performed immediately (step 24) rather than after first performing steps 18, 20 and 22.

5 One difficulty with the cooperative multi-tasking approach of the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system is that a task may monopolize the processor by refusing to yield to other tasks. As long as the task has messages in its message queue, it need not yield.

Summary of the Invention

10 In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention, a method is practiced in a data processing system having at least one processor for running tasks. The tasks are logically partitioned into groups of interdependent tasks that utilize the same modules of code or resources. The groups of tasks are preemptively scheduled to be run such that
15 each group of tasks is given a time slot in which it may run on the processor. The tasks to be run within each group are non-preemptively scheduled to be run during the time slot allocated to the group.

20 In accordance with a further aspect of the present invention, a method is practiced in a data processing system having at least one storage device for storing modules of code and at least one processor for running tasks. During the running of
25 each task, at least one module of code is run and resources may be allocated. In this method, a task dependency list is provided for each task. This task dependency list lists modules and resources that are candidates to be called when the task is run on the processor. The method may include the additional step of providing a module and resource dependency list for each module of code. Each module and resource
30 dependency list lists interdependent modules of code for the module code associated with the list and resources utilized by the module code associated with the list.. In such a case, the task dependency list for each task is created by taking a logical union of the modules listed in the module and resource dependency list that are candidates to be called when the task is run on the processor. The task dependency lists are examined to
30 logically partition the tasks into groups of interdependent tasks. The groups of tasks are preemptively scheduled to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a

cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor. For each group of tasks, the tasks are non-preemptively scheduled to be run during the time slot allocated to the group.

In accordance with a still further aspect of the present invention, a data processing system includes a partitioning mechanism for partitioning tasks into groups of interdependent tasks. The data processing system also includes an execution mechanism for executing the task. A preemptive scheduler preemptively schedules the group of tasks such that each group is given a time slot in which to execute one of its tasks. A non-preemptive scheduler is also provided in the data processing system for non-preemptively scheduling tasks within each group.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The foregoing aspects and many of the attendant advantages of this invention will become more readily appreciated as the same become better understood by reference to the following detailed description, when taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIGURE 1 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed by a message loop in the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system.

FIGURE 2 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed by the GetMessage function of the message loop of FIGURE 1.

FIGURE 3 is a block diagram of a data processing system that is suitable for practicing a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 4 is a flow chart providing a high level view of the steps performed by the preferred embodiment of the present invention in scheduling tasks for execution.

FIGURE 5 is a block diagram illustrating an example of preemptive scheduling of groups in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 6 is an illustration of an exemplary group list employed in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 7 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed to merge tasks into a single merged group in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 8 is a flow chart illustrating in more detail the steps performed to move a task into a group with other tasks which use a same DLL or a same resource in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 9 is an illustration of an exemplary group status table used in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 10 is an illustration of an exemplary module and resource dependency list used in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

5 FIGURE 11 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed to grow a module and resource dependency list in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 12 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed to create a task dependency list in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

10 The preferred embodiment of the present invention combines preemptive multi-tasking with cooperative multi-tasking to optimize scheduling of tasks in an operating system. Specifically, tasks are logically divided into groups of interdependent tasks. As will be explained in more detail below, the interdependent tasks are related such that if they were scheduled asynchronously, resource sharing problems could arise.

15 A time slot of processor time is provided for each group. Scheduling within the group, however, is performed in a cooperative manner, much like that performed by the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system, sold by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington. Since groups are preemptively scheduled, one task may not monopolize the processor and slow down all executing tasks. In general, response time

20 for task completion is improved by the present invention. Furthermore, if a task hangs, the scheduler may switch to another group so that all tasks will not hang. In addition, for compatibility reasons, the present invention ensures that dependencies among tasks are not ignored. Earlier versions of the Microsoft WINDOWS operating system used cooperative multi-tasking. Thus, applications written for such earlier versions of the

25 operating system do not account for preemptive scheduling and, thus, dependency problems may arise when such applications are run in a preemptively scheduled environment. Failure to recognize these dependencies could cause problems in a purely preemptively scheduled environment.

30 The preferred embodiment of the present invention is practiced in a data processing system 26, like that shown in FIGURE 3. Although the data processing system 26 shown in FIGURE 3 is a single processor system, those skilled in the art will appreciate that the present invention may also be practiced in multiple processor

systems, such as distributed systems. The data processing system 26 of FIGURE 3 includes a central processing unit (CPU) 27 that controls operation of the system. The data processing system 26 also includes a memory 28 and disk storage 30 for storing files and data. The memory 28 may include any of multiple types of memory devices, including RAM, ROM or other well-known types of memory devices. The data processing system 26 may further include a keyboard 32, a mouse 34 and a video display 36. It should be appreciated that additional or other types of input/output devices may, likewise, be included in the data processing system 26.

The memory 28 holds a copy of an operating system 40 and modules of code 38. The operating system may be an embellished version of the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system that has been embellished to support the preferred embodiment described herein. The operating system 40 includes a scheduler 42 that is responsible for scheduling the execution of tasks on the CPU 28. The preferred embodiment of the present invention is implemented, in large part, in the scheduler 42.

FIGURE 4 is a high level flow chart showing the steps performed in the scheduling of tasks within the preferred embodiment of the present invention. First, tasks are organized into logical groups of interdependent tasks (step 44). These tasks have interdependencies such that they cannot be run in separate time slots. For instance, the tasks may call a common dynamic link library (DLL) module or other common module. If such task were run in separate time slots, a data sharing problem arises. One of the tasks might inadvertently change the data for the DLL and, thus, deleteriously affect the other tasks. Another type of interdependency that may prevent tasks from executing in separate time slots is resource interdependency. A resource is any part of a computer system or a network that may be allocated to a program or a process while it is running. Types of resources may include, but are not limited to, a printer driver, a hardware device, a data store on disk, a memory-mapped file, an Internet port, an input/output port, a computer, an Application Programming Interface ("API") provider, or other service provider. Other types of resources are known to those skilled in the art. The organization of tasks into logical groups based upon their interdependencies is performed by the operating system 40 and will be described in more detail below. The discussion below will initially focus on the preemptively scheduling aspect of the

present invention and then later focus on the cooperative scheduling aspect of the present invention.

The various groups of tasks to be run on the operating system 40 are scheduled preemptively such that each group is given a particular time slot of processing time to run on the CPU 28 (step 46 in FIGURE 4). FIGURE 5 provides an illustration of how time slots may be assigned in an instance where there are four logical groups: Group 1, Group 2, Group 3, and Group 4. In the illustration shown in FIGURE 5, Group 1 is assigned time slot 1 in cycle 1 and then later assigned time slot 5 in cycle 2. In the example shown in FIGURE 5, Group 2 is assigned time slot 2 in cycle 1, Group 3 is assigned time slot 3 in cycle 1, and Group 4 is assigned time slot 4 in cycle 1. Each group is assigned a corresponding time slot within the next cycle. Thus, Group 1 is assigned time slot 5 in cycle 2, Group 2 is assigned time slot 6 in cycle 2, Group 3 is assigned time slot 7 in cycle 2, and Group 4 is assigned time slot 8 in cycle 2.

As the scheduling is dynamic and groups may be added and/or removed over time, the sequence of time slots need not remain fixed; rather the scheduling may change over time. The scheduler 42, however, ensures that each active group gets a time slot in each cycle.

The scheduling of tasks within each group is not performed preemptively; rather, the scheduling is performed cooperatively (step 48 in FIGURE 4). As discussed above, cooperative multi-tasking requires that a task voluntarily yield to another task. The example described in the Background section focused on the GetMessage function as a vehicle for yielding amongst tasks. In general, the cooperative multi-tasking performed within a group is performed much like scheduling is performed in the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system. As will be described in more detail below, the present invention additionally checks for dependencies before unblocking a task. API's such as GetMessage, PeekMessage, Yield and WaitMessage allow applications to yield to other tasks in the same group.

In summary, each group is given a time slot of processor time in each cycle. Which task runs during the time slot assigned to a group depends upon cooperative scheduling of the tasks within the group. Thus, a task that is currently running for a group will continue to run during each consecutive time slot that is assigned to the group

until the task yields to another task in the group through a vehicle such as a GetMessage function call.

5 The operating system 40 maintains data structures for monitoring what tasks are in each group. The primary data structure for this purpose is the group list 50 (FIGURE 6). The group list 50 may be stored in the data area of the operating system 40 in memory 28. The group list 50 includes a respective entry 52A, 52B, 52C, and 52D for each of the tasks included in the group. Each entry 52A, 52B, 52C, and 52D holds a handle for a task that is part of the group. A handle is a number that uniquely identifies a task amongst those in the system 26. Likewise, a handle may be utilized to uniquely
10 identify resources amongst those in the system 26. In the example shown in FIGURE 6, the group list 50 includes entries 52A, 52B, 52C, and 52D for four tasks: task 1, task 2, task 7, and task 8.

15 The tasks included in group lists may change over time. FIGURE 7 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed to merge groups. Initially, a task begins in its own group (step 54). During the course of execution of the task, the task performs API calls, such as LoadLibrary, LoadModule, WinExec, or certain forms of SendMessage, to link to DLLs (step 56). The operating system 40 moves the task into a group with other applications which use the same DLLs to avoid data sharing problems (step 58). Likewise, during execution of a task, the task may request a resource (step 56) using an
20 allocate resource command. The operating system 40 moves the task into a group with other applications which use the same resource to avoid resource sharing problems (step 58).

25 FIGURE 8 is a flow chart illustrating in more detail how step 58 of FIGURE 7 is performed to move a task into a group with other tasks. The application to be moved from a first group into a second group is placed into a suspended state, instead of immediately returning from the LoadLibrary, LoadModule or Allocate Resource APIs (step 60). The first group is in the "synching-up state" at this point. The operating system 40 waits till no application code for the second group is running (step 62). In other words, it waits till each of the tasks in Group 2 is calling an API like GetMessage, WaitMessage or Yield. The task from the first group is then added to the second group
30 (step 64) and the task may then be scheduled to run (step 66).

In order for the scheduler 42 to properly allocate time slots to groups, it must know the current status of each group and which task, if any, is scheduled for execution during the next time slot that is provided for the group. A group status table 68, like that shown in FIGURE 9, is stored by the operating system 40 in memory 28 in order to assist the scheduler 42 in preemptively scheduling tasks from the various groups. In the example shown in FIGURE 9, the system 26 currently has four active groups of tasks. A separate entry 70A, 70B, 70C, and 70D is provided for each group. Each of the entries 70A, 70B, 70C, and 70D includes a status field 72A, 72B, 72C, and 72D, respectively. The status fields 72A, 72B, 72C, and 72D hold status information that details whether one of the tasks in the respective groups is scheduled to be running during the next time slot or whether the group is in a "synching-up" state (which will be described in more detail below). The status information may be encoded as groups of bits held in the status fields 72A, 72B, 72C, and 72D. Each entry 70A, 70B, 70C, and 70D also includes a respective task name field 74A, 74B, 74C, and 74D. The task name fields 74A, 74B, 74C, and 74D hold the task names of any tasks that are running during the next available time slot for the groups. Thus, if entry 70A holds status information for group 1, the task name field 74A holds a name (or handle) of the task in group 1 that is running.

The operating system 40 also maintains a module and resource dependency list for each of the modules 38. The module and resource dependency list serves a role in assigning tasks/modules to groups when a new task or module is added to a group and when it is determined which group a task will be added to. The module and resource dependency lists are examined to determine what group a task should be assigned. Preemptively scheduled groups always have disjoint module and resource dependency lists. A task/module is put in its own group or in a group with interdependent tasks/modules and resource usage.. An example module and resource dependency list 76 is shown in FIGURE 10. Each task may include a single module or multiple modules. The module and resource dependency list 76 lists modules that are candidates to be called or loaded from the associated module. The listed modules and the associated module have an inter-dependency. The module and resource dependency list 76 holds entries 78A, 78B, and 78C for each of modules called or loaded from the module associated with the list. In FIGURE 10, the module calls or loads module 1,

DLL 1, and DLL 2. Furthermore, the module and resource dependency list 76 lists resources that are candidates to be utilized by the associated module. The resources and the associated module therefore have an inter-dependency. The module and resource dependency list 76 holds entries 78D and 78E for each of the resources allocated by the module associate with the list. In FIGURE 10, the module allocates RESOURCE 1 and RESOURCE 2.

The module and resource dependency list 76 is not static; rather the list changes over time. FIGURE 11 is a flow chart illustrating the steps performed to update a module and resource dependency list 76 during the course of execution of the associated module. Initially, a module and resource dependency list is maintained for each module (step 80). An action is then performed that adds a module or resource dependency relative to the module associated with the list (step 82). This action may include, for instance, loading a library module, loading a DLL module, running an application module, getting the address of an exported DLL module, or allocating a resource like a printer or disk drive. In the Microsoft WINDOWS, Version 3.1, operating system, API calls such as LoadLibrary, LoadModule, GetProcAddress, WinExec, and AllocateResource add a dependency relative to a module. The new modules that are loaded, run or exported by such API calls are then added to the module and resource dependency list (Step 84). Likewise, the new resources allocated by the module are also added to the module and resource dependency list. In this fashion, the module and resource dependency list may dynamically grow during the course of execution of the associated module.

Since any task may utilize multiple modules and resources, the issue arises how to develop a module and resource dependency list for a task. FIGURE 12 is a flow chart showing the steps performed to create a module and resource dependency list for a task. Initially, a task is created (step 86). A task dependency list for the task is then created by taking the union of the module and resource dependency list of the modules of the task (step 88). In this fashion, the preferred embodiment in the present invention ensures that all of the module and resource dependencies for a task are taken into account when assigning the task a group.

It is perhaps helpful to summarize the scheduling performed by the scheduler 42. The scheduler 42 assigns time slots for each of the active groups. The scheduler 42 must

5

10

15

The embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined as follows:

1. In a data processing system having a least one processor for running tasks and resources available to tasks, a method comprising the steps of:

- 5 logically partitioning tasks into groups of tasks that utilize the same resources;
 preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor; and
 for each group, non-preemptively scheduling tasks to be run within each group during the time slot allocated to the group.

- 10 2. The method recited in Claim 1 wherein the data processing system includes at least one storage device and the method further comprises the step of storing a group list for each associated group in the storage device, wherein each group list includes identifying information for tasks included in the associated group.

- 15 3. The method recited in Claim 1, further comprising the step of storing status information for each group indicating whether the group has a task that is running and holding identifying information about any task that is running.

- 20 4. The method recited in Claim 1 wherein the step of logically partitioning tasks into groups of tasks that utilize the same resources further comprises the steps of:
 initially placing each task in its own group; and
 subsequently combining groups of tasks into a merged group wherein each task in the merged group allocates a common resource when run.

- 25 5. In a data processing system having at least one storage device for storing modules of code, at least one processor for running tasks wherein running each task involves allocating at least one resource and resources that may be allocated to tasks, a method comprising the steps of:

 providing a task dependency list for each task, said task dependency list listing resources that are candidates to be allocated when the task is run on the processor;
 examining the task dependency lists to logically partition the tasks into groups of interdependent tasks;

preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor; and

for each group of tasks, non-preemptively scheduling tasks to be run within each group during the time slot allocated to the group.

5 6. The method recited in Claim 5, further comprising the step of storing a group list for each group that holds identifying information for tasks included in the group.

7. The method recited in Claim 5, further comprising the step of storing status information for each group indicating the group has a task that is running and
10 holding identifying information about any task that is running.

8. In a data processing system having at least one storage device for storing modules of code and at least one processor for running tasks, and resources that may be allocated by tasks, wherein running each task involves allocating at least one resource, a method comprising the steps of:

15 providing a module and resource dependency list for each associated module of code, wherein each module and resource dependency list lists interdependent modules of code of the associated module of code and resources allocated by the associated module of code;

20 generating a task dependency list for each task by taking a logical union of modules and resources listed in the module and resource dependency lists of modules and resources that are candidates to be called when the task is run on the processor;

 examining the task dependency lists to logically partition the tasks into groups of interdependent tasks; and

25 preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor; and

 for each group of tasks, non-preemptively scheduling tasks to be run within each group during the time slot allocated to the group.

9. The method recited in Claim 8, further comprising the step of storing a group list for each group that holds identifying information for tasks included in the group.

10. The method recited in Claim 8, further comprising the step of storing status information for each group indicating the group has a task that is running and holding identifying information about any task that is running.

11. A data processing system, comprising:
a partitioning mechanism for partitioning tasks into groups of interdependent tasks that utilize the same resources;
10 an execution mechanism for executing the tasks;
a preemptive scheduler for preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks such that each group is given a time slot in which to execute one of its tasks; and
a non-preemptive scheduler for non-preemptively scheduling tasks within each group.

12. In a data processing system having at least one processor for running tasks and resources that may be allocated by said tasks, wherein said processor runs an operating system, a computer-readable storage medium holding the operating system, said operating system performing the steps of:

logically partitioning tasks into groups of interdependent tasks to be run non-preemptively, said tasks allocating the same resources, and tasks that do not allocate the same resources being placed as separate groups;

preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor; and

for each group, non-preemptively scheduling tasks to be run within each group during the time slot allocated to the group.

13. The computer-readable storage medium of Claim 12 wherein the operating system further performs the steps of:

initially placing each task in its own group; and

subsequently combining groups of tasks into a merged group wherein each task in the merged group allocates a common resource.

14. In a data processing system having at least one storage device for storing modules of code, system resources that may be allocated by tasks, and at least one processor for running tasks wherein running each task involves allocating at least one resource, a computer-readable storage medium holding an operating system for performing the steps of:

providing a task dependency list for each task, said task dependency list listing resources that are allocated when the task is run on the processor;

examining the task dependency lists to logically partition the tasks into groups of interdependent tasks such that, for each task, modules on the task dependency list for the task are included in a single group;

preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor; and

for each group of tasks, non-preemptively scheduling tasks to be run within each group during the time slot allocated to the group.

15. In a data processing system having at least one storage device for storing modules of code, system resources that may be allocated by tasks, and at least one processor for running tasks wherein running each task involves allocating at least one resource, a computer-readable storage medium holding an operating system for performing the steps of:

providing a module and resource dependency list for each associated module of code, wherein each module and resource dependency list lists interdependent resources of the associated module of code;

generating a task dependency list for each task by taking a logical union of resources listed in the module and resource dependency lists of resources that are allocated when the task is run on the processor;

examining the task dependency lists to logically partition the tasks into groups of interdependent tasks;


preemptively scheduling the groups of tasks to be run such that each group of tasks is given a time slot in a cycle in which its tasks may run on the processor; and


2

Abstract of the Disclosure

5

10

[illegible]







Fig. 3.

*Fig.4.*

TIME SLOT	FIRST CYCLE				SECOND CYCLE			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	GROUP 1	GROUP 2	GROUP 3	GROUP 4	GROUP 1	GROUP 2	GROUP 3	GROUP 4

Fig.5.




Fig.6.

7/11





Fig.7.

*Fig.8.*

9/11




Fig.9.





Fig.10.

*Fig.11.*




Fig.12.

**COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
IN PATENT APPLICATION**

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter that is claimed and for which patent is sought on the invention entitled: PREEMPTIVE MULTI-TASKING WITH COOPERATIVE GROUPS OF TASKS, the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(c) of any foreign application(s) for patent listed below and have also identified below, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed: NONE

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(d) of any inventor's certificate listed below. I declare that, upon investigation, I am satisfied that to the best of my knowledge, when filing the application for the inventor's certificate I had the option to file an application for either a patent or an inventor's certificate as to the subject matter of the identified claim or claims forming the basis for the claim of priority: NONE

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below: NONE

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States listed below, and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S. Applications:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
08/125,930	September 21, 1993	abandoned
08/667,377	June 21, 1996	pending

I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Bruce E. O'Connor, Reg. No. 24,849; Lee E. Johnson, Reg. No. 22,946; Gary S. Kindness, Reg. No. 22,178; James W. Anable, Reg. No. 26,827; James R. Uhlir, Reg. No. 25,096; Jerald E. Nagae, Reg. No. 29,418; Dennis K. Shelton, Reg. No. 26,997; Jeffrey M. Sakoi, Reg. No. 32,059; Ward Brown, Reg. No. 28,400; Robert J. Carlson, Reg. No. 35,472; Marcia S. Kelbon, Reg. No. 34,358; Rodney C. Tullett, Reg. No. 34,034; Daiva K. Tautvydas, Reg. No. 36,077; Mary L. Čulić, Reg. No. 40,574; and the firm of Christensen O'Connor Johnson & Kindness^{PLLC}. Address all telephone calls to Leonard J. Hope at telephone No. 206.695.1729.

I hereby grant the following attorneys and/or agents an associate power of attorney with full power to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Katie E. Sako, Reg. No. 32,628; Daniel D. Crouse, Reg. No. 32,022.

Address all correspondence to:

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON & KINDNESS^{PLLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, WA 98101-2347

I hereby further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Inventor

David D'Souza

Citizenship

U.S.A.

Residence

7201 Holly Hill Drive, Mercer Island, WA 98040

Post Office Address

Same as above

Inventor's Signature

Date

David D'Souza

3-27-2000

LJH:kh